1		
2		
3		
4		
5	LINITED STA	ΓES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	* * *	
8)
9	LINWOOD EDWARD TRACY, JR.; et al.,))) 3:11-cv-0436-LRH-VPC
10	PlaintiffS,)
11	v.	ORDER
12	CEO, SUCCESSOR FOR DEUTSCHE NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY; et al.,)
13	Defendants.	
14		_)
15	Before the court are plaintiff Linwood Edward Tracy, Jr.'s ("Tracy") various motions for	
16	judgment. Doc. ##53, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 78. Also before the court are Tracy's motions to dismiss	
17	for sanctions (Doc. ##108, 109) and motions for color of law violation (Doc. ##143, 144) which the	
18	court shall construe as motions for judgment.	
19	I. Facts and Background	
20	At its core, this is a wrongful foreclosure and wrongful taxation action. Plaintiff William	
21	Gerald Fillion ("Fillion") owned real property in California which was subject to state, county, and	
22	city tax assessments. The tax assessments went unpaid and eventually the property was foreclosed	
23	upon.	
24	On June 21, 2011, plaintiffs filed a civil rights complaint against defendants for violation of	
25	their First and Fourth Amendment rights. See Doc. #1. In particular, plaintiffs challenge the tax	
26		

¹ Refers to the court's docket number.

	il de la companya de	
1	assessments and foreclosure claiming that the property belonged to a non-profit organization.	
2	Thereafter, Tracy filed the various motions for judgment.	
3	II. Discussion	
4	Tracy's motions for judgment fall into two categories: (1) motions for judgment against	
5	individuals who are not defendants in this action; and (2) motions for judgment against defendants	
6	who have already been dismissed in this action. As to those individuals who are not defendants in	
7	this action, the court is without jurisdiction to enter any form of judgment against them. As to those	
8	defendants who have already been dismissed, the court is likewise without jurisdiction to enter	
9	judgment against them because they are prevailing parties. Accordingly, the court shall deny	
10	Tracy's motions for judgment.	
11		
12	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motions for judgment (Doc. ##53, 65, 66,	
13	67, 68, 69, 78, 108, 109, 143, 144) are DENIED.	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	DATED this 5th day of July, 2012.	
16	Hared this 3th day of July, 2012.	
17		
18	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE	
19	ONTIED STATES DISTRICT JODGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		